

Attorney Docket No. 03004-8110US
CLIENT REF NO. 03-0716

REMARKS

In the above-referenced Office Action, the Examiner divided the claims into the following groups:

Group I, Claims 1-38, drawn to an aircraft system; and

Group II, Claims 39-46, drawn to a method of manufacturing a wiper system.

In addition, the Examiner required an election of species. During a telephone conference on August 11, 2004, the Examiner clarified that the different species are illustrated in Figures 2-9.

In response, the applicants elect Group I and the species illustrated in Figure 8, claims 1-7, 10-12 and 15-38. Applicants' attorney traverses the Examiner's assertion that no claim is generic and notes that at least claims 1, 21, 27, 32 and 36 are generic.

Upon allowance of the generic claims, applicants request consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or which otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed generic claims.

No fees are believed due with this communication. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any deficiency in fees herein to Deposit Account No. 50-0665.

Respectfully submitted,
Perkins Coie LLP

Date: Oct. 7, 2004



David T. Dutcher
Registration No. 51,638

Correspondence Address:

Customer No. 25096
Perkins Coie LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000